UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/767,431	01/22/2001	Charles L. Jones	US20000181	9566
	7590 03/17/200 PATENTS COMPAN	EXAMINER		
500 RENAISSANCE DRIVE - SUITE 102 ST. JOSEPH, MI 49085			VIG, NARESH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		03/17/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/767,431	JONES, CHARLES L.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
NARESH VIG	3629	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on th	e cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 February 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA	TION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1 application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with a for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114 periods:) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the ppeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the	e final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Act no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SE Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY (continued to the continued to the co	ion, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In X MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	SHEOR BOX (B) WHEN THE TINOT HELE I WAS TIELD WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	I the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee tatutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with	37 CER 41 37 must be filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal was filed on Abriler in compliance with filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension them Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the ti AMENDMENTS	eof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. 🛛 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to	the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration	
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal; and/or	or appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspon-	ding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See at	tached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if non-allowable claim(s).	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will no how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided belogen the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,7-13 and 15-19</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before o because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficien was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was	all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the st REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	atus of the claims after entry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NC	T place the application in condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/013. Other:	08) Paper No(s)
Л	Naresh Vig/
	rimary Examiner, Art Unit 3629
	•

Applicant has added the limitation making a design of a product appearance in response to the visual characteristics of th desired brand making the product using the design which would require further consideration and/or search

In response to applicant's argument that cited references do not have any statement which states creating any special design for the appliances themselves.

However, the picture itself on page 4 of cited reference clearly shows a series of appliances made uder the Kitchen Aid series of products by Whirlpool Corporation. Also applicant is seperating the cited references to make their argumens

In response to applicant's argument that cited reference Abelow envisions that the user would provide feedbeck when they have problem or when they have unmet need, which is different than the claimed invention.

Once again, applicant is seperating references to make their argument. Whirlpool clearl shows making series of appliances with maintaing product personalities. Abelow teaches that a product design can be made by taking customer feedback and desires to improve the product, and, customer's unmet desires can be taken into consideration when designing series of products. For example, Kitchen Aid family of matching refrigerator, dish washer, range, hood etc.

In response to applicant's argument that cited reference Abelow does not provide any such teaching for at least one of a washer, dryer, refrigerator, freezer, oven, range, counter top appliance, cook top, grill, hood, dishwasher and microwave oven. The Whirlpool Corporation publication does not teach or suggest designing any of these products, but rather utilizes commercially available versions of the products and merely arranges them within a kitchen setting.

However, applicant is not considering the Whirlpool reference completely. As responded to earlier, cited reference Whirlpool clearly teaches that Kitchen Aid had series of appliances with maintaing product design and personality.